

SECRET

8011841

Approved For Release 2002/06/19 : CIA-RDP89-01114R000100080022-4

25 March 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Harry E. Fitzwater
Director of Personnel
Policy, Planning, and Management

25X1A FROM: [REDACTED]
 Chief, Military Personnel Branch

SUBJECT: DIA Nomination for APEX Steering Group

25X1A

25X1A

1. The position of [REDACTED] has been approved. [REDACTED] was nominated for a position on the APEX Steering Group by the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA). The DCI's letter to members of NFIB outlined the establishment of the APEX Steering Group and stated that members are to be assigned to the Agency on a rotational, nonrenewable, reimbursable basis.

25X1A

2. DIA directed [REDACTED] to report for duty on 8 February 1980 and he is presently working at Headquarters. However, since the beginning DIA has repeatedly refused to release [REDACTED] for formal assignment to the military unit of CIA where all other reimbursable Air Force personnel are routinely assigned. [REDACTED]

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

[REDACTED] of DIA has advised MPB that DIA will not give up the control and command of [REDACTED] DIA adamantly insists that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] remain on their rolls and report to them, not the DCI, although he is working in our Headquarters.

25X1

25X1

25X1A

3. Repeated contacts between MPB and DoD have proved fruitless. The JCS Focal Point Office has advised MPB that they do not want to get involved in a policy dispute between the Director of DIA and the DCI. The Air Force Focal Point Office and the Air Force have advised that since DIA will not release [REDACTED] they cannot take any action and have referred the matter back to MPB.

25X1A

4. We are bringing this situation to your attention because this arrangement is an exception to our normal procedures and also appears to be in conflict with the DCI's letter. Recognizing that it is a political issue, we can live with it as an exception so long as it is recognized that the Agency will not have control of [REDACTED] or his assignment, nor any reporting responsibility (e.g., Efficiency reports, morning reports, leave, etc.).

25X1A

WARNING NOTICE
INTELLIGENCE SOURCES
AND METHODS APPROVED

DERIVATIVE CL BY

120000 REVW ON

25 Mar 00

DEPMRIS/PWCS

A9c5.1

Approved For Release 2002/06/19 : CIA-RDP89-01114R000100080022-4

SECRET

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/06/19 : CIA-RDP89-01114R000100080022-4

Approved For Release 2002/06/19 : CIA-RDP89-01114R000100080022-4

UNCLASSIFIED INTERNAL
SE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/06/19 : CIA-RDP89-O1114R000100080022-4

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

25X1

FROM: [redacted]

Exec Asst to D/PPPM
5E-58, Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

PERS 80-1841

DATE

25X1

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED FORWARDER

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. DD/PPPM

2.

3. D/PPPM

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Attached is a paper from Chief, Military Personnel Branch regarding [redacted]

25X1

activity. There appears to be a conflict between the Agency and the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) concerning the control over [redacted]

25X1

The focal point people want out as I suspect they may not want to get "chewed up" in what might be construed as a "political matter."

25X1

In short, DIA wants to maintain complete control over [redacted]

25X1

We thought he would be under our control. I suggest you may want to take this up with [redacted]

25X1

it appears to be a little awkward for a Colonel who is apparently working for [redacted] reporting to DIA technically and having his [redacted] report prepared by DIA.

25X1

comment about [redacted] the EO 12036 is a little off in as much as the detailing organization prepares the EO 12036 letter not the agency receiving the detailee.

25X1